## Direct Proofs

## 1 Example 1

Definition. An integer $n$ is even if and only if there exists and integer $k$ such that $2 k=n$
Let $n$ be an integer. If $n$ is even then $n^{2}$ is even.

### 1.1 Proof in Symbols

| Given | Inferred | Rule of Inference |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $n$ is even | $\exists k \in \mathbb{Z} \mid 2 k=n$ | modus ponens using Definition of "even" and given |
|  | $k$ is an integer such that $2 k=n$. | existential instantiation (Table 2, p174) |
|  | $4 k^{2}=n^{2}$ | algebra - square $2 k=n$ |
|  | $2 \cdot 2 k^{2}=n^{2}$ | algebra - factor out 2 |
|  | Let $l$ be an integer such that $l=2 k^{2}$. | closure of integers |
|  | $2 l=n^{2}$ | algebra - substitute l for $2 k^{2}$ |
|  | $\exists l \in \mathbb{Z} \mid 2 l=n^{2}$ | existential generalization(Table 2, p174) |
|  | $n^{2}$ is even | Inferred (6) and definition of "even" |

### 1.2 Proof in words

We assume that $n$ is even. From the definition of even, we know that there is an integer $k$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 k=n \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We can square both sides of equation 1 to see that $4 k^{2}=n^{2}$. We can then factor out a 2 and get:

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \cdot 2 k^{2}=n^{2} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Because the integers are closed under addition and multiplication, we know that there exists an integer $l$ such that $2 k^{2}=l$. When we substitute $l$ into equation 2 we see that $2 l=n^{2}$. Because we have found an integer $l$ such that $2 l=n^{2}$, the definition of even tells us that $n^{2}$ is even.

## 2 Example 2

Definition: A number $s$ is rational if and only if there exists two integers $x$ and $y$ such that $\frac{x}{y}=s$.
If $s$ and $t$ are rational numbers, then $s+t$ is also rational.

### 2.1 Proof in Symbols

| Given | Inferred | Rule of Inference |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $s$ is rational | $\exists a, b \in \mathbb{Z} \backslash \frac{a}{b}=s$ | definition of "Rational" |
| $t$ is rational | $\frac{a}{b}=s$ for some $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$. | existential instantiation (Table 2, p174) |
|  | $\exists c, d \in \mathbb{Z} \backslash \frac{c}{d}=t$ | definition of "Rational" |
|  | $\frac{a}{b}=t$ for some $c, d \in \mathbb{Z}$ | existential instantiation (Table 2, p174) |
|  | $s+t=\frac{a}{b}+\frac{c}{d}$ | algebra - substitution |
|  | $s+t=\frac{a d+b c}{b d}$ | algebra - multiplication of fractions |
|  | Let $n$ be an integer such that $n=a d+b c$ | closure of integers |
|  | Let $m$ be an integer such that $m=b d$ | closure of integers |
|  | $s+t=\frac{n}{m}$ | algebra - substitution |
|  | $s+t$ is rational | definition of "Rational" |

### 2.2 Proof in words

Let $s$ and $t$ be rational numbers. From the definition of rational, we know that there exist integers $a$ and $b$ such that $s=\frac{a}{b}$. Likewise, we know that there exist integers $c$ and $d$ such that $t=\frac{c}{d}$. From here we can substitute $\frac{a}{b}$ for $s$ and $\frac{b}{c}$ for $t$ and see that $s+t=\frac{a}{b}+\frac{c}{d}$. This is equivalent to saying that $s+t=\frac{a d+b c}{b d}$. Let $n=a d+b c$ and let $m=b d$. Because the integers are closed under addition and multiplication, we know that $n$ and $m$ are integers. Thus, we have found two integer $m$ and $n$ such hat $\frac{n}{m}=s+t$. Hence, we know that $s+t$ is rational by definition.

## 3 Example 3

Definition: Let $a$, and $b$ be integers. We say $a$ divides $b$ (written $a \mid b$ if and only if $\exists k \in \mathbb{Z} \mid a k=b$.
Let $a, b$, and $c$ be integers. If $a \mid b$ and $a \mid c$, then $a \mid b c$.

### 3.1 Proof in Symbols

Given: $a|b, a| c$

|  | Inferred | Rule of Inference |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | $\exists k \in \mathbb{Z} \mid a k=b$ | definition of divices |
| 2 | $\exists l \in \mathbb{Z} \mid a l=c$ | definition of divides |
| 3 | $a k=b$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ | existential instantiation |
| 4 | $a j=c$ for some $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ | existential instantiation |
| 5 | $b c=a k a j$ | algebra - multiply 4 and 5 |
| 6 | $b c=a(k a j)$ | algebra - associtivity of integers |
| 7 | Let $l \in \mathbb{Z}=k a j$ | closure of integers under multiplication |
| 8 | $b c=a l$ | algebra - substitue 7 into 6 |
| 9 | $a \mid b c$ | definition of divides |

## 4 Proof in words

We will prove that, given integers $a, b$, and $c$, if $a \mid b$ and $a \mid c$, then $a \mid b c$. We will do this by finding an integer $j$ such that $a j=b c$.nc

The definition of divides tells us that

$$
\begin{equation*}
a k=b \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some integer $k$. Likewise, we know that

$$
\begin{equation*}
a l=c \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some integer $c$. We can multiply equations 3 and 4 to get

$$
\begin{equation*}
b c=a k a l \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now let $j$ be an integer such that $j=k a l$. When we substitute $j$ for $k a l$ in equation 5 , we see that $b c=a j$. Thus, we have found an integer $j$ such that $a j=b c$. Therefore, the definition of divides tells us that $a \mid b c$.

## 5 Another Proof in Words

Given that $a, b$ and $c$ are integers, we wish to show that $a \mid b c$. From the definition of divides, we know that there exist integers $k$ and $l$ such that $a k=b$ and $a l=c$. We can multiply these two equations to see that $b c=a k a l=a(k a l)$. Let $j$ be an integer such that $j=k a l$. We can subsitite $j$ into the equation $b c=a k a l$ to see that $b c=a j$. Finally, the definition of divides tells us that $a \mid b c$.

